

**A novel anthropomorphic pelvic phantom
designed for multicentre level III dosimetry
intercomparison**

Kristie Michelle Harrison BSc (Hons)

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Masters of
Philosophy from the Faculty of Science and
Mathematics, University of Newcastle**

June 2009

Statement of Originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1988

Signed _____

Acknowledgement of Authorship

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this Thesis is the result of original research, the greater part of which was completed subsequent to admission to candidature for the degree.

Signed

Project Acknowledgements

I extend gratitude to the Commonwealth of Australia Department of Health and Ageing, the New South Wales Cancer Institute and the Calvary Mater Newcastle Hospital for financial support.

Thanks to the Level III Intercomparison project team including Martin Ebert, Deidre Cornes, Steve Howlett, Jim Denham, Chris Hamilton Scott Callan and Kara Dahl for their contribution to the phantom design and broader study.

Thanks to the many talented physicists, past and present, at the Calvary Mater Newcastle Hospital who offered considerable support and contributed to the project in various ways. My appreciation is extended to the radiation oncologists and radiation therapists at Newcastle Calvary Hospital for their support.

Thanks to the maintenance department at Calvary Mater Newcastle Hospital for the construction of the water tank.

Many thanks extended to Oxford Scientific for the loan of equipment to undertake the measurements.

The industry contacts of Shane Rolton (WYSIWYG 3D Pty Ltd, Sydney) and Bradley Dykes (P-type, Bayly Group, Pty Ltd, Melbourne) played a significant role in seeing the phantom through the design/construction phase by contributing their valuable prototyping talents. Special thanks to Shane for the in-kind contribution on the design work for the phantom as well as the friendly and productive collaboration throughout.

Much appreciation is extended to staff at all participating centres in the multicentre study.

Personal Acknowledgements

I've been especially lucky to have the world's most patient supervisors in Tomas Kron, Martin Ebert and Colin Waters.

To Tomas, you're always an inspiration to me and it'll be an honour to be one of your 'student alumni'. Your contribution is always insightful and usually gives me a headache trying to wrap my limited brain cells around it, but I'm all the better for it! I value you so much for introducing me to medical physics.

To Martin, my friend and mentor, thanks for your guidance and attempts to keep me motivated! Thanks for your support when you were a Chief Mater Miserable and being a first-rate travel companion on the Great Elvis Tour of '05.

To Colin, you're a rock, thanks for your continuing encouragement over the past 10 years. It's reassuring to know you're there at the uni when I need you!

Special thanks to Kym Nitschke for giving me some 'time off for good behaviour' to complete the thesis and to Patricia Ostwald who has provided a zillion useful conversations and support.

At times the demands of juggling work, marriage, housework, my health, child-bearing / rearing and research have pushed me to the brink of my sanity. The efforts of my immediate and extended family have been instrumental in helping me find time to complete this degree. Thanks to my Mum, Dad, in-laws and the rest of my family and friends who mean so much to me and know too well how wonderful it will be to have this 'monkey off my back!'

Thanks to my gorgeous son Nate, who has taught me so much about true joy and is a constant reminder that time slips away much too quickly. Thanks for dolling out your hugs and those special words... *"I love you really much"*.

To my husband Rohan, thank you for your support, love, friendship and sacrifice....you're the only one who truly knows all the challenges I've faced in the past few years and I'm grateful that I have you to share the rollercoaster with. Time to crack open the '97 Abercorn Shiraz...

Table of Contents

Abstract	viii
Publications and Presentations associated with this research	x
Thesis Outline	xii
Chapter 1 Background and Aims	1
1.1 Radiation Therapy	1
1.1.1 Cancer and Radiation Therapy as a treatment modality	1
1.1.2 Patient Treatment Chain	1
1.1.3 Computed Tomography	3
1.1.4 Treatment Planning System	4
1.1.5 Treatment	7
1.2 Dosimetry	10
1.2.1 Role in radiation therapy	10
1.2.2 Ionisation Chambers	11
1.2.3 Thermoluminescence Dosimetry	12
1.3 Photon interactions and Phantoms	13
1.3.1 X-ray Interactions in matter	13
1.3.2 Phantoms	15
1.4 Dosimetric Intercomparisons	16
1.4.1 Multicentre Intercomparisons	16
1.4.2 International Dosimetric Intercomparisons and Purpose-built phantoms	18
1.4.3 Australasian Studies	20
1.5 Requirements for phantom for a Level III Intercomparison	21
1.6 Aims of this project	22
Chapter 2 Phantom Design, Material Testing and Phantom Manufacture	23
2.1 Patient CT data and contours	23
2.2 Locating critical measurement points	27
2.3 Material tests	29
2.3.1 Hounsfield Units	31
2.3.2 Linear and Mass Attenuation Coefficient	33
2.3.3 Relative Electron Density	34
2.3.4 Uniformity	35
2.4 Manufacture	36
Chapter 3 Assessing the Physical Properties of the Phantom	39
3.1 Physical Specifications	39

3.2	CT of Phantom	40
3.3	Dimensions of Phantom	42
3.4	Timeline and costs	45
Chapter 4	Assessing Dosimetric Properties (Level I and III)	46
4.1	Treatment Planning for Level III Dosimetry Study	46
4.1.1	CT of Phantom and import into the Planning System.....	46
4.1.2	Rectal Treatment Plan	50
4.1.3	Prostate Treatment Plan	55
4.1.4	Independent Monitor Unit Calculation.....	61
4.2	Materials.....	62
4.2.1	Linear accelerator	62
4.2.2	Electrometer and Ionisation chamber	62
4.2.3	LiF:Mg,Ti Thermoluminescence Dosimeters	63
4.2.4	Barometer and Thermometer.....	65
4.2.5	Water Tank.....	66
4.3	Dosimetry Methods	67
4.3.1	Methods - Level I Dosimetry	67
4.3.2	Methods - Level III Dosimetry	69
4.4	Dosimetry Results	71
4.4.1	Level I Dosimetry	71
4.4.2	Level III Dosimetry results - Rectal Treatment.....	72
4.4.3	Level III Dosimetry Results - Prostate Treatment.....	73
Chapter 5	Evaluation of the phantom for a multicentre study	75
5.1	Transportation	76
5.2	Results of the Level I Dosimetric Intercomparison	77
5.3	Results of the rectal treatment intercomparison	77
5.4	Results of the prostate intercomparison	79
Chapter 6	Discussion	85
6.1	Physical and dosimetric properties of the phantom and addressing the key criteria.....	85
6.1.1	Suitable for evaluation of prostate and rectal treatments	86
6.1.2	Realistic in Size.....	87
6.1.3	Anatomical accuracy in terms of geometry.....	87
6.1.4	Constructed from non-toxic and non-degradable materials.....	88
6.1.5	Constructed from materials which will maintain structural integrity	88
6.1.6	Approximate tissue and bone densities	89
6.1.7	Distinguishable organs for use in treatment planning.....	89
6.1.8	Able to accommodate a variety of detectors.....	90
6.1.9	Rapid assembly and disassembly for interchange of dosimeters.....	91
6.1.10	Reproducible assembly.....	91

6.1.11	Within budget and timeline of project	92
6.1.12	Within manual handling guidelines	92
6.1.13	The weight of the phantom should be suitable for travelling	93
6.2	Discussion of the multicentre intercomparisons	93
6.2.1	Level I multicentre study.....	93
6.2.2	Level III Rectal Intercomparison	93
6.2.3	Level III Prostate Intercomparison.....	94
6.3	Further Applications of the Phantom	95
6.3.1	Supine Positioning.....	95
6.3.2	Testing of SWAN by TROG.....	95
6.3.3	Application to the testing of Cone Beam CT	95
6.3.4	Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy and Film Dosimetry.....	96
Chapter 7	Conclusions	97
	References	99
	List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	106

Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Level III dosimetric intercomparison studies test the entire radiotherapy patient treatment chain from diagnostic imaging to treatment delivery and verification imaging at multiple radiotherapy centres. The anthropomorphic phantom employed in an intercomparison needs to meet specific criteria including portability, tissue equivalence and accommodation of radiation detectors to ensure clinical relevance and dosimetric accuracy. The proposition that a purpose-built phantom can encompass all the attributes necessary for precise Level III dosimetric intercomparisons for prostate cancer is the premise of this body of work.

METHODS: Organ outlines were generated from a human computed tomography image set and incorporated into the phantom design to replicate human anatomy as closely as possible. Twenty-five points of interest were located throughout the dataset to identify where point-dose values could be measured with thermoluminescence dosimeters. The centre of the prostate was identified as the location for measurement with a small-volume ionization chamber. The materials used in this phantom were tested against water to determine relative attenuation, density and Hounsfield Units. Three materials were chosen to mimic bone, organs, and a backfill material and the phantom was manufactured using modern prototyping techniques into five separate coronal slices. Time lines and resource requirements for the phantom design and manufacture were recorded. The ability of the phantom to mimic the entire treatment chain was tested at the Calvary Mater Newcastle Hospital.

RESULTS: The phantom CT images indicated the densities and organ geometries were comparable to the original patient. The phantom proved simple to load for dosimetry and rapid to assemble. Measurements indicated the reproducibility to be in the order of 1% for the ionization chamber measurement and within 3% for thermoluminescence dosimeters. Due to heat release during manufacture, small airgaps were present throughout the phantom producing artifacts on lateral images. The overall cost for production of the prototype

phantom was comparable to other commercial anthropomorphic phantoms (\$AU45,000).

The phantom was shown to be suitable for use as a “patient” to mimic the entire treatment chain for typical external beam radiotherapy for prostate and rectal cancer. Outlining of relevant structures by a radiation oncologist was uncomplicated and the computerised treatment plan compared well with the dose measured using ionisation chambers and thermoluminescence dosimeters.

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS: The phantom constructed for the present study incorporates all characteristics necessary for accurate Level III intercomparison studies and will be an effective tool for an intercomparison of pelvic treatments in Australasia. These results may benefit analysis of outcomes for prostate cancer treatments, especially in the clinical trial environment. It will be of significant interest in the future to use the phantom to assess advanced radiotherapy delivery techniques such as Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT).

Publications and Presentations associated with this research

Publications that include results of the thesis in parts:

- Ebert M, Harrison K, Cornes D, Howlett S, Joseph D, Kron T, Hamilton C and Denham J 2009 A comprehensive Australasian multi-centre dosimetric intercomparison - issues, logistics and recommendations *J Med Imag Rad Oncol* **53** 119-31
- Ebert M A, Howlett S J, Harrison K, Cornes D, Hamilton C S and Denham J D 2008 Linear-accelerator X-ray output: a multicentre chamber-based intercomparison study in Australia and New Zealand *Aust Phys Eng Sci Med* **31**

Presentations pertaining to the phantom and that include results of the thesis in parts (presented by person named first):

- Ebert M, Harrison K, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J 2005 Design and Construction of a Realistic Pelvic Phantom for a Level III Dosimetry Study *Oral presentation at the 2005 AAPM Conference*
- Harrison K, Ebert M, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J 2005 Level I and III National Dosimetry Project *Poster presentation at the 2005 TROG Annual Meeting, Darwin*
- Ebert M, Harrison K, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J 2004 Design of an Inter-centre Dosimetry Study Using an Anthropomorphic Pelvic Phantom (Elvis the Pelvis) *Oral presentation at the 2004 RANZCR Annual Meeting, Perth*
- Harrison KM, Rolton S, Cornes D, Denham J, Ebert M, Howlett S, Hamilton C 2004 Elvis the Pelvis: A purpose built anthropomorphic phantom for an Australasian Level III dosimetry intercomparison *Oral presentation at the 2004 MedPhys Symposium, ACPSEM (NSW Branch)*
- Harrison KM, Rolton S, Cornes D, Denham J, Ebert M, Howlett S, Hamilton C 2004 Elvis the Pelvis: A purpose built anthropomorphic phantom for an Australasian Level III dosimetry intercomparison *Oral presentation at the 2004 EPSM Conference, Geelong. (Awarded prize for best oral presentation at conference – Varian Prize)*
- Harrison K, Ebert M, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J 2004 Australasian Level III dosimetric intercomparison using a purpose-built anthropomorphic phantom *Oral presentation at the 2004 EPSM Conference, Geelong.*
- Rolton S, Harrison K, Ebert M, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J 2004 Australian Success Story: Design and Construction of an Anatomically correct Male Pelvis Radiation Phantom *Oral presentation at the 2004 Geomagic Conference, Bali*

Presentations of the broader Level I and III multicentre study (presented by person named first):

- Ebert M, Harrison K, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J
Level I and III dosimetric intercomparison for a prostate 3D-CRT trial *Oral presentation at the 2007 ESTRO Biennial Physics and RT Meeting, Barcelona Spain*
- Ebert M, Howlett S, Harrison K, Cornes D, Denham J, Hamilton C
Accelerator output in Australasia - Comparison with other international Level I studies, *Oral presentation at the 2007 EPSM, Fremantle*
- Ebert MA, Harrison K, Denham JW, Cornes D, Howlett S and Hamilton C
QA of conformal radiotherapy for multi-centre radiotherapy trials in Australasia. *Oral presentation at the 2006 International Conference on Quality Assurance and New Technologies in Radiation Medicine IAEA, Vienna*
- Ebert M, Harrison K, Cornes D, Howlett S, Hamilton C and Denham J
2006 Ambition vs Reality Level III Dosimetry & the Travelling Elvis Show *Oral presentation at the 2006 TROG Annual Meeting, Linderman Island*
- Ebert M, Harrison K, Cornes D, Howlett S and Denham J 2005 On the road with Elvis – Progress on the national Level III dosimetry study *Oral presentation at the 2005 EPSM Conference, Adelaide*
- Howlett SJ, Ebert MA, Harrison KM, Cornes D, Hamilton C S and Denham JD Dose Measurement in the Level I section of the national dosimetry pilot project *Oral presentation at the 2004 EPSM Conference, Geelong.*
- Hamilton C, Harrison K, Ebert, M, Cornes D, Hamilton C and Denham J
2004 National Dosimetry Lead-In Project *Oral presentation at the 2004 TROG Annual Meeting, Queenstown*

Thesis Outline

This thesis is concerned with the development, material testing, manufacture and evaluation of an anthropomorphic phantom purpose-built for application to level III dosimetry intercomparison studies. Consequently the thesis is divided into the following chapters:

Chapter 1 covers theory relating to radiation therapy, dosimetry, phantoms and previous research into the area of multicentre intercomparison dosimetry. The chapter ends with the aims of this body of work including the key criteria the phantom was designed to fulfil.

Chapter 2 outlines the design of the phantom and material testing including methodology and results. This is followed by a description of the manufacture of the phantom.

Chapter 3 detail the methodology and results of the collation of physical properties of the completed phantom such as weight, dimensions, CT imaging in comparison to material tests and the timeline and costs.

Chapter 4 describes the assessment of the dosimetric properties of the phantom which incorporates the adjunct Level I study used for calibration. The chapter also covers equipment used, methodology and results of application of the phantom to Level III dosimetry.

Chapter 5 evaluates the phantom in the context of a multicentre study. The methodology used is as described in chapter 4, but applied to an additional 4 radiation therapy centres. The results for anatomical regions are compared across the 5 centres for 2 treatment sites. A broader multicentre intercomparison project (37 site visits) adjunct to this study is not discussed in this body of work, but results will be published in the near future.

Chapter 6 is the discussion of the results presented in chapters 2 through to 5 with emphasis on addressing the key criteria, preliminary results of the multicentre intercomparison and further applications of the phantom.

Chapter 7 concludes the thesis with a brief summary of the outcomes of the thesis.

The references and an explanation of the acronyms referred to in the thesis follow Chapter 7.